

**BONNER C. WALSH**

Oregon State Bar ID Number 131716

bonner@walshpllc.com

WALSH LLC

1561 Long Haul Road

Grangeville, ID 83530

Phone 541.359.2827

Facsimile 866.503 8206

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

TROY HYDER and HEIDI HYDER,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GENERAL MOTORS LLC,

Defendant

Civil Action No.: 3:18-cv-1865

MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT (15  
U.S.C. § 2301, *et seq.*); AND STATE CLAIMS.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**  
**AND JURY DEMAND**

TROY HYDER and HEIDI HYDER (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") file this Plaintiffs' Original Complaint and Jury Trial Demand complaining of GENERAL MOTORS LLC. This action is filed for violations of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301. Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs have resided in Yamhill County.

2. Defendant **GENERAL MOTORS LLC** (“GM”, “Defendant”, or “Manufacturer”) is a Delaware limited liability company who conducts business in Oregon and their registered agent is Corporation Service Company, 1127 Broadway Street NE, Ste. 310, Salem, OR 97301.

### **JURISDICTION**

3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, and the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction for the other Oregon claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Plaintiff seeks relief in excess of \$50,000.00.
4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) and (c), because: (i) Defendant is actively doing business in this State and subject to personal jurisdiction throughout the State; (ii) upon information and belief, Defendant transacts business in the State and in the District because it has contracted with residents of the District through their sales with residents of the District; (iii) upon information and belief Defendant has committed illegal acts in the District by and through its sales and/or have provided defective products to residents of this district, and (iv) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

### **FACTS**

5. In March of 2015, Plaintiffs purchased a 2015 GMC Yukon XL, VIN: 1GKS2HKC4FR618892 (the “Vehicle”) from Larsen Motor Company of McMinnville, Oregon for \$64,170.00. The Vehicle is registered in Plaintiffs’ names.
6. The Vehicle was purchased as a new vehicle. Since that time the Vehicle has had significant nonconformities during the manufacturer, defendant GM’s factory warranty period. Plaintiffs use the Vehicle primarily for personal, family and household purposes.

Since purchase, the Vehicle has encountered an excessive number of defects, including but not limited to rough idling, high pitched noise in all wheel drive, air conditioner malfunction, rear hatch malfunctions, noises from the steering system, and child lock malfunction. According to work orders the Vehicle has been repaired at least fifteen (15) times and has been in the manufacturer's authorized dealer's shop for an excessive number of days (more than four hundred (400) days). Prior to the most recent repair attempt of twenty-seven (27) days, GM admitted that the vehicle has been in the shop for repairs for at least one hundred eighty-two (182) days. The Vehicle still has defects, including rough idling and noise in all wheel drive.

7. Despite confirmation of the nonconformities and repeated lengthy repair attempts, defendants have been unable to correct the nonconformities. These nonconformities substantially impair the use, value and or safety of the Vehicle.
8. Despite contacts by Plaintiffs with GM, Defendant has not been able to resolve Plaintiff's issues with the Vehicle. Despite Plaintiff informing GM of the nonconformities and the danger associated with them and requesting GM to buy back the vehicle, GM has not made any acceptable remedies.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**BREACH OF WRITTEN WARRANTY**  
**(Pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act)**

9. Plaintiffs are consumers, as contemplated by the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act.
10. Manufacturer is a warrantor and supplier of a consumer product, as contemplated by the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act.
11. Plaintiffs are entitled by the terms of the written warranty provided to them by Manufacturer through its authorized dealer to enforce the obligations of said warranty.

12. The warranty provided that Manufacturer would repair or replace defective parts, or take other remedial action free of charge to Plaintiffs in the event that the Vehicle failed to meet the specification as set forth in the written warranty.
13. The written warranty was the basis of the bargain with respect to the contract for sale executed and entered into between Plaintiffs and Manufacturer.
14. The purchase of the Vehicle was induced by the written warranty, upon which Plaintiffs relied.
15. Plaintiffs have honored Plaintiffs' obligations under the warranty.
16. Manufacturer breached its obligations under the written warranty by failing to reasonably repair the Vehicle's defects after being afforded a reasonable number of repair attempts or a reasonable opportunity to cure.
17. Plaintiffs notified Manufacturer of its breach within a reasonable time after discovering it by tendering the Vehicle to Manufacturer's authorized dealer for repair as instructed by Manufacturer's written warranty and by providing written notification to Manufacturer.
18. As a direct and proximate result of Manufacturer's failure to comply with its written warranty, Plaintiffs have suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss of use, diminished value, incurred and/or needed repair costs, aggravation, and incidental and consequential damages. In accordance with 15 U.S.C. §2310(d)(1), Plaintiffs are entitled to bring suit for damages and other relief.
19. Pursuant to the MMWA, 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(2), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover as part of the judgment costs and expenses of the suit, including attorney's fees based on actual time expended. As a proximate result of the misconduct of Defendant as alleged herein, and in an effort to protect its rights and to enforce the terms of the agreement as more particularly set

forth above, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to employ the legal services of the undersigned attorneys to prosecute this action. Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur legal fees, costs and expenses in connection therewith.

20. The amount in controversy of this action exceeds the sum of \$50,000, exclusive of interest and costs for these claims.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE**  
**BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY**  
**(Pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act)**

21. Paragraphs 1 through 8 above are realleged and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein, verbatim.
22. The Vehicle purchased by Plaintiffs is subject to an implied warranty of merchantability as defined in 15 U.S.C. §2301(7).
23. Manufacturer contracts to sell goods. Manufacturer sells vehicles to purchasers, orders component parts, and/or assembles them into final products. They are merchants with respect to the goods of the kind sold to Plaintiff.
24. The parties' contract for sale as a matter of law implies that the Vehicle is merchantable, because Manufacturer is a merchant with respect to such goods.
25. The implied warranty was breached by Manufacturer because it manufactured a Vehicle of insufficient quality. The Vehicle is not fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used.
26. The Vehicle has failed to meet Plaintiff's reasonable expectations.
27. The Vehicle has not provided dependable transportation, and it has not been trouble-free.

28. The Vehicle would not pass without objection in the trade under the contract description and does not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made by Manufacturer.
29. As a result of the breach of implied warranty by Manufacturer, Plaintiffs are without the reasonable value of the Vehicle.
30. As a result of the breach of implied warranty by Manufacturer, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer damages, including those specifically identified in the foregoing paragraphs.
31. Plaintiffs request attorney's fees and show that they are entitled to fees and costs pursuant to the fee-shifting provision of section 2310(d) the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act.
32. In addition to any other relief and as a result of Defendant's violations this Court should rescind the sale and refund Plaintiffs their purchase prices less any reasonable allowance for use of the vehicle.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE  
OREGON UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT**

33. Paragraphs 1 through 8 above are realleged and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein, verbatim.
34. Oregon's Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS 646.608 *et seq.*, prohibits the acts of Defendant, in that they represented that the vehicle and/or workmanship was/were of a particular quality or standard, which it did not have; that the warranty covered the entire vehicle other than wear and tear when it did not; that defects would be repaired at no cost within a reasonable time when they were not; and that the vehicle would be repurchased if it was not repaired within a reasonable time when it was not.

35. The acts of Defendant constitute unconscionable commercial practices and the reckless, wanton, and willful failure of Defendant to comply with its obligations constitutes unfair or deceptive conduct in trade or commerce.

36. Defendant's wanton and willful violations of the Oregon Unlawful Trade Practices Act entitle Plaintiffs to an award of actual damages, incidental costs, reasonable attorney's fees, and any equitable relief the Court deems proper.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Defendant be cited to appear and answer in this action and upon final trial of this cause, the Court issue judgment that Plaintiffs have and recover against Defendant as follows:

- 1) On their first claim for relief against Defendant for rescission of the sale of the Vehicle or in the alternative damages in an amount to be determined by the jury, costs and reasonable attorney fees, prejudgment interest at 9% and their costs and disbursements herein or such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate;
- 2) On their second claim for relief against Defendant for rescission of the sale of the Vehicle or in the alternative damages in an amount to be determined by the jury, costs and reasonable attorney fees, prejudgment interest at 9% and their costs and disbursements herein or such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate;
- 3) On their third claim for relief against Defendant for rescission of the sale of the Vehicle or in the alternative damages in an amount to be determined by the jury, costs and reasonable attorney fees, prejudgment interest at 9% and their costs and disbursements herein or such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate

- 4) For such other relief that the Court deems appropriate.

**PLAINTIFFS HEREBY MAKE THEIR DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Bonner C. Walsh

Bonner C. Walsh, OSB #131716

WALSH LLC

1561 Long Haul Road

Grangeville, ID 83530

TEL 541.359.2827

FAX 866.503.8206

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS**